

<b>DECISION-MAKER:</b>	COUNCIL		
<b>SUBJECT:</b>	OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY: SUMMARY OF CALL-IN ACTIVITY		
<b>DATE OF DECISION:</b>	21 JULY 2021		
<b>REPORT OF:</b>	SERVICE DIRECTOR - LEGAL AND BUSINESS OPERATIONS		
<b><u>CONTACT DETAILS</u></b>			
<b>Executive Director</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Deputy Chief Executive</b>	
	<b>Name:</b>	<b>Mike Harris</b>	<b>Tel: 023 8083 2882</b>
	<b>E-mail</b>	<b>Mike.harris@southampton.gov.uk</b>	
<b>Author:</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Scrutiny Manager</b>	
	<b>Name:</b>	<b>Mark Pirnie</b>	<b>Tel: 023 8083 3886</b>
	<b>E-mail</b>	<b>Mark.pirnie@southampton.gov.uk</b>	
<b>STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY</b>			
None			
<b>BRIEF SUMMARY</b>			
This report provides the Council with a summary of the use of the Call-in procedure since the previous update in May 2020.			
<b>RECOMMENDATIONS:</b>			
	(i)	That the report be noted.	
<b>REASONS FOR REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS</b>			
1.	The Council's Constitution requires the use of Call-in to be reported to Council.		
<b>ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED</b>			
2.	Not applicable.		
<b>DETAIL (Including consultation carried out)</b>			
3.	One executive decision has been called-in since the previous update to Council on 20 May 2020. The details of the Call-in, and the outcomes resulting from the Call-In meeting, are summarised in this report.		
	<b>Called-in Decision: CAB 20/21 28124 - Southampton Green Transport Recovery Plan</b>		
4.	<b>Reasons given for the Call-in:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The lack of prior consultation;</li> <li>• It does not appear to support the economic growth element of the Southampton City Strategy; taking into account the impact of Covid-19;</li> </ul>		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insufficient focus on schemes that have the most likely chance of encouraging the long term facilitation of active travel, whilst following all Government guidance for Covid-19;</li> <li>• Does not put a high enough priority on Council led “school streets” schemes and the creation of low traffic residential zones.</li> </ul>
	<p><b>Recommendations from the Call-in meeting</b></p>
<p>5.</p>	<p>The Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee considered the item at a meeting on 28 July 2020, and, following technical issues, on 30 July 2020 when the meeting was reconvened. Following the discussion the Committee made a number of recommendations for consideration by Cabinet:</p> <p>(i) Cabinet withdraws its proposed Green Transport Recovery Plan as it is not fit for purpose, undemocratically instigated, not evidence led and fails to demonstrate it supports economic growth, as set out in our Southampton City Strategy – given the impact of Covid-19 on our economy.</p> <p>If Cabinet is not minded to withdraw the plans in full:</p> <p>(i) Cabinet agrees to suspend the decision on the Green Transport Recovery Plan whilst it reviews it against the recently released Government policy announcement of ‘Gear Change’, paying particular attention to this line “we will need to ensure that understanding of transport users’ needs, motivations and behaviours is central to what we do, in order to maximise our chances of success” – that shows understanding and taking people with us is crucial to success.</p> <p>On the assumption Cabinet neither withdraws or pauses:</p> <p>(i) Cabinet introduces measures to help with traffic flows, looking to reduce congestion and stop start traffic across our City;</p> <p>(ii) Cabinet undertakes proper consultation, that can help shape any of the future proposed schemes, outside the emergency measures already taken, with a focus on spending money where it has the highest chance of long term facilitation of active travel;</p> <p>(iii) Cabinet place a higher focus on Council led “school streets” schemes and the creation of low traffic residential zones. It is not acceptable to only have St. Denys as an identified neighbourhood scheme and action needs to be taken around all schools with traffic related issues, not just those who wish to engage with the Council;</p> <p>(iv) Cabinet immediately engage with disability representatives and amend existing and future schemes to ensure our most vulnerable are not discriminated against. Including immediately reinstating at least some of the parking on the Common side of Hill Lane, as this has been removed with no consultation or regard to vulnerable users of the Common, impacting their well-being and access to the Common;</p> <p>(v) Cabinet pauses any major future plans, such as Millbrook Road West bus lanes, whilst we wait for analysis on the impact of Covid-19 on our local</p>

	economy, our air quality and the new 'Gear Change' policy that suggests segregated facilities for cyclists;	
	(vi) Cabinet agrees to immediately removing the temporary road space reallocation on Bassett Avenue and Bitterne Road West in full, due to concerns over additional congestion and the effect this will have on local air quality. If Cabinet is not prepared to do this, it states the conditions that would need to be met for them to remove the road space reallocation and considers making public the weekly data that is the base of the decision not to remove these measures.	
	<b>Cabinet response</b>	
6.	At the 3 August 2020 meeting Cabinet confirmed their decision taken on 14 July 2020 and rejected the recommendations above.	
<b>RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS</b>		
<b><u>Capital/Revenue</u></b>		
7.	None.	
<b><u>Property/Other</u></b>		
8.	None.	
<b>LEGAL IMPLICATIONS</b>		
<b><u>Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report:</u></b>		
9.	The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000.	
<b><u>Other Legal Implications:</u></b>		
10.	None	
<b>RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS</b>		
11.	None.	
<b>POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS</b>		
12.	None	
<b>KEY DECISION</b>		No
<b>WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED:</b>		None directly as a result of this report
<b><u>SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION</u></b>		
<b>Appendices</b>		
1.	None	
<b>Documents In Members' Rooms</b>		
1.	None	
<b>Equality Impact Assessment</b>		
Do the implications/subject of the report require an Equality and Safety Impact Assessments (ESIA) to be carried out?		No

<b>Data Protection Impact Assessment</b>		
Do the implications/subject of the report require a Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA) to be carried out?		No
<b>Other Background Documents</b>		
<b>Equality Impact Assessment and Other Background documents available for inspection at:</b>		
Title of Background Paper(s)	Relevant Paragraph of the Access to Information Procedure Rules / Schedule 12A allowing document to be Exempt/Confidential (if applicable)	
1.	None	